

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 01/27/2000 14635-012610US 1021 09/492,558 James R. Gannoe EXAMINER 27777 09/24/2004 PHILIP S. JOHNSON PHILOGENE, PEDRO JOHNSON & JOHNSON ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003 3732

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
Office Action Summary		09/492,558	GANNOE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Pedro Philogene	3732	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	y be timely filed (0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communicati	ion.
Any	reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ng date of this communication, even if time	ly filed, may reduce any	,
Status				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	<u>luly 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin Theorem 1.	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	🗂	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al. (6,656,113) in view of Koros et al. (6,113,534).

With respect to claims 4,5 Green et al disclose an apparatus for stabilizing an epicardial surface of the heart comprising a shaft (3), a foot (762,765) coupled to the shaft, a contact surface for engaging the heart and a slot; as best seen in FIG.52 A-B, in which a vessel on the heart may be positioned, the slot being aligned with a central axis, the foot having a shape which is asymmetrical relative to the central axis, as best seen in FIGS. 52 A-B, 53,54., the second arm is shaped differently compared to the first arm; as bet seen in FIGS.52 A-B, 53, 54.

It is noted that Green et al did not teach of a foot having a generally planar first arm and a generally planar second arm, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Koros et al evidence the use of a foot having a generally planar first arm and a generally planar second arm to press a heart surface tissue at a surgical site and minimize motion.

Therefore, given the teaching of Koros et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of

Green et al., as taught by Koros et al, to press the hear surface tissue at a surgical site and minimize motion.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 2, 14,15, 17-19, 49-54 are allowed.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5,8,17-19, 52-54, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Application/Control Number: 09/492,558

Art Unit: 3732

2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 4

supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene September 21, 2004